

Realizations of NC Rational Functions Around a Matrix Centre: A Generalization of the Fliess-Kronecker Theorem*

Motke Porat ^{*†}

1. Introduction

If τ is a nc rational function of d non-commuting variables x_1, \dots, x_d , that is regular at 0 (or any d -tuple of scalars), it is known that τ admits a minimal realization and using the minimal realization the (stable extended) domain of τ can be fully described (this is Theorem 1). In addition, the set of all of nc rational functions regular at 0 can be characterized in terms of their power series expansions (this is Theorem 2). However, there are nc rational functions which do not contain d -tuples of scalars. For example, the nc rational function

$$\tau(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1)^{-1}$$

is not regular at any $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$; however, τ is regular at some $(Y_1, Y_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2})^2$, for example at

$$(Y_1, Y_2) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in (\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2})^2.$$

We will not limit ourself for functions regular at 0. In this talk we work in the more general settings of all nc rational functions, i.e., τ is regular at some Y which is a d -tuple of $s \times s$ matrices, say $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{s \times s})^d$, and we prove generalizations of both Theorems 1 and 2 for such an τ .

1.1. Characterizations of NC Rational Functions Regular at 0

The next theorem gives a full characterization of nc rational functions which are regular at 0, and their (stable extended) domains of regularity, in terms of their minimal realizations (for the proofs, see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6]).

*These results are based on joint work with Daniel Alpay (Ben Gurion University of the Negev) and Victor Vinnikov (Ben Gurion University of the Negev).

†Motke Porat is with the Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, 8410501, Beer-Sheva, Israel
 motkep@post.bgu.ac.il

Theorem 1 *If τ is a nc rational function of x_1, \dots, x_d and τ is regular at 0, then*

1. τ admits a unique (up to similarity) minimal state space realization

$$\tau(x_1, \dots, x_d) = D + C \left(I_L - \sum_{i=1}^d A_i x_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d B_i x_i \right),$$

where $A_1, \dots, A_d \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times L}, B_1, \dots, B_d \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times 1}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times L}, D = \tau(0)$ and $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$: $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{m \times m})^d$ is in the stable extended domain of $\tau \iff$

$$\det(I_{mL} - X_1 \otimes A_1 - \dots - X_d \otimes A_d) \neq 0.$$

The following theorem provides a full characterization of nc rational functions regular at 0 in terms of their power series expansions (for the proof, see [1]).

Theorem 2 (Fliess-Kronecker Theorem) *A nc power series*

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{G}_d} \tau_{\omega} x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{C} \langle \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle \rangle$$

is the power series expansion of a nc rational function at a 0 \iff the infinite $\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d$ Hankel matrix $\mathbb{H} = [\tau_{uv}]_{u,v \in \mathcal{G}_d}$ has a finite rank. (\mathcal{G}_d is the free monoid generated by d generators g_1, \dots, g_d).

2. Main Results:

What is a realization in our settings? A realization centered at $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{s \times s})^d$, is a function of the form

$$I_m \otimes D + (I_m \otimes C) \Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_d)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d B_i (X_i - I_m \otimes Y_i) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_d) = I_{Lm} - \sum_{i=1}^d A_i (X_i - I_m \otimes Y_i),$$

$\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d : \mathbb{C}^{s \times s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{L \times L}$ and $\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_d : \mathbb{C}^{s \times s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{L \times s}$ are linear mappings, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times L}, D \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times s}, L \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{sm \times sm})^d$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly to the classical theory of realizations, modified definitions for observability, controllability and minimality of realizations of the form (1) are introduced. In particular, we obtain a Kalman decomposition and show that a realization is minimal (in the sense that L is the smallest integer for which such a realization exists) if and only if it is both controllable and observable. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 *If τ is a nc rational function, regular at some $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{s \times s})^d$, then τ admits a unique (up to similarity) minimal realization of the form*

$$I_m \otimes D + (I_m \otimes C) \Lambda (X_1, \dots, X_d)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{B}_i (X_i - I_m \otimes Y_i) \right)$$

as in (1). Moreover, for any minimal realization of τ of the form (1), the stable extended domain of τ is equal to

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^{sm \times sm})^d : \det(\Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_d)) \neq 0\},$$

which is the invertibility set of Λ .

The proof is obtained by steps: first, we show the existence of a realization of the form (1), centered at Y , then using a modified Kalman decomposition we can choose the realization to be a minimal one and finally, to obtain the stable extended domain, we use the minimality of the realization and the difference-differential operator, as in [3, 4]. In [2], the authors proved that a generalized nc formal power series expansion

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{G}_d} \tau_{\omega} (X - I_m \otimes Y)^{\odot_s \omega} \quad (2)$$

is a nc function if and only if the linear mappings (τ_{ω}) satisfy the so called lost-Abbey conditions; we found necessary and sufficient conditions on the coefficients (τ_{ω}) , so that the power series in (2) is a nc rational function regular at Y . The following is a generalization of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 (Generalized Fliess-Kronecker Theorem)

A generalized nc formal power series of the form

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{G}_d} \tau_{\omega} (X - I_m \otimes Y)^{\odot_s \omega}, \quad X \in (\mathbb{C}^{sm \times sm})^d$$

centered at $Y \in (\mathbb{C}^{s \times s})^d$ is the power series expansion of a nc rational function τ that is regular at $Y \iff$

1. (τ_{ω}) satisfy the lost-Abbey conditions, and
2. the Hankel matrix $\mathbb{H}(\tau)$ given by

$$\mathbb{H}(\tau) = \left[\mathbb{H}_{T_1, T_2}^{(\tau)} \right]_{T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{I}}$$

has a finite (column) rank.

In the above theorem, the entries of the Hankel matrix are given explicitly by

$$\mathbb{H}_{T_1, T_2}^{(\tau)} = (E_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, E_{i_{\ell} j_{\ell}}, E_{p_1 q_1}, \dots, E_{p_{\ell} q_{\ell}}) \tau_{\omega v}$$

for each $T_1 = (\omega, ((i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_{\ell}, j_{\ell}))) \in \mathbb{I}$ and $T_2 = (v, ((p_1, q_1), \dots, (p_{\ell}, q_{\ell}))) \in \mathbb{I}$, where

$$\mathbb{I} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{G}_d^{[\ell]} \times (\{1, \dots, s\} \times \{1, \dots, s\})^{\otimes \ell} \right)$$

and $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^s$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$. In the proof of Theorem 4, we use Theorem 3 and more results from the theory of minimal realizations of the form (1). In particular, if τ is a nc rational function, it admits a minimal realization and one can get the explicit formulas $\tau_{\emptyset} \equiv D$ and

$$\tau_{\omega} (Z^1, \dots, Z^{\ell}) = C \mathbf{A}_{i_1} (Z^1) \dots \mathbf{A}_{i_{\ell-1}} (Z^{\ell-1}) \mathbf{B}_{i_{\ell}} (Z^{\ell}),$$

where $\omega = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_{\ell}}$, for the coefficients (τ_{ω}) of the power series expansion of τ , and also reexpress the lost-Abbey conditions in terms of $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d, \mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_d, C$ and D .

No paper will be submitted to the proceedings.

References

- [1] J.A.Ball, G.Groenewald and T.Malakorn, *Structured noncommutative multidimensional linear systems*, in SIAM J. Control Optim. 44 (2005), 1474-1528.
- [2] D.S.Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V.Vinnikov, *Foundations of free noncommutative function theory*, in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 199. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014
- [3] D.S.Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V.Vinnikov, *Noncommutative rational functions, their difference-differential calculus and realizations*, in Multidimens. Syst. Singal Process. 23 (2012), 49-77.
- [4] D.S.Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V.Vinnikov, *Singularities of rational functions and minimal factorizations: the noncommutative and the commutative settings*, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009), 869-889.
- [5] S.C. Kleene, *Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata*, in Automata Studies, Annals of Mathematics Studies 34, 3-41, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1956).
- [6] J.Volcic, *On Domains of noncommutative rational functions*, in Linear Algebra Appl. 516 (2017), 69-81.